

# E - LEARNING MATERIALS.

SEM - 2 , CC - 03.

UNIT - 1.

Topic - Real Analysis

Sub Topic - Real Number System.

Date - 16.04.2020.

Prepared by - Dr. Alauddin Dafadar.

1. well ordering property: Every non empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a least element. (1)

2. principle of Induction: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

i)  $1 \in S$  and

ii) if  $k \in S$ , then  $k+1 \in S$

Then  $S = \mathbb{N}$

proof: Let  $T = \mathbb{N} - S$ . we prove that  $T = \emptyset$

Let  $T$  be non empty. Then by the well ordering property of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the non-empty subset  $T$  has a least element, say  $m$ .

Since  $1 \in S$  and  $1$  is the least element of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > 1$ .

Hence  $m-1$  is a natural number and  $m-1 \in T$ . So,  $m-1 \in S$

by (ii)  $m-1 \in S \Rightarrow (m-1)+1 \in S$ , i.e.  $m \in S$

This contradicts that  $m$  is the least element in  $T$ . Therefore our assumption is wrong and  $T = \emptyset$ .

Therefore  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . This completes the proof.

3. Density property of  $\mathbb{Q}$ : If  $x$  and  $y$  be any two rational numbers and  $x < y$ , there exists a rational number  $r$  such that  $x < r < y$ . That is, between any two rational numbers there exists a rational number.

4. prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational number.

let us assume that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

let  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$  [where  $q \neq 0$ ,  $p$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are prime to each other]

$$\text{So, } 2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow p^2 = 2q^2$$

$\Rightarrow p^2$  is even

$\Rightarrow p$  is even

let  $p = 2m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$2q^2 = 4m^2$$

$$\Rightarrow q^2 = 2m^2$$

$\Rightarrow q^2$  is even

$\Rightarrow q$  is even

thus  $p$  and  $q$  are both even and this contradicts the assumption.

Therefore  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational number.

(2)

5. Completeness property of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

upper bound: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A real number  $u$  is said to be an upper bound of  $S$  if  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \leq u$ .

lower bound: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . A real number  $l$  is said to be a lower bound of  $S$  if  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \geq l$ .

bounded above: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $S$  is said to be bounded above if  $S$  has an upper bound.

bounded below: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $S$  is said to be bounded below if  $S$  has a lower bound.

bounded: Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $S$  is said to be a bounded set if  $S$  be bounded above as well as bounded below.

6. Give an example of bounded set.

Let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 1 < x < 2\}$ ,  $S$  is bounded set as 2 is an upper bound and 1 is a lower bound of  $S$ .

7. Supremum (least upper bound): Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $S$  be bounded above, then an upper bound of  $S$  is said to be the supremum of  $S$  if it is less than every other upper bound of  $S$ .

Infimum (greatest lower bound): Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $S$  be bounded below, then a lower bound of  $S$  is said to be the infimum of  $S$  if it is greater than every other lower bound of  $S$ .

8. Statement of completeness property:

Supremum property: Every non empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, has a least upper bound (or a supremum)

we assume the supremum property of  $\mathbb{R}$  as an axiom.

Infimum property: Every non empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below, has a greatest lower bound.

we assume the infimum property of  $\mathbb{R}$

9. Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded below. Then  $S$  has an infimum. (3)

Let  $l_0$  be a lower bound of  $S$ . Let  $T = \{L \in \mathbb{R} : L \text{ is a lower bound of } S\}$ . Then  $T$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  because  $l_0 \in T$ .

Moreover  $x \in T$  and  $s \in S \Rightarrow x \leq s$ . This shows that  $T$  is bounded above.

Thus  $T$  is non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded above. By the supremum property of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $T$  has a supremum. Let  $\sup T = L$ .

Then i)  $l \leq L$  for every  $l \in T$ . Since  $L$  is an upper bound of  $T$ , and ii) Since every  $s \in S$  is an upper bound of  $T$ , and  $L = \sup T$ ,  $L \leq s$  for every  $s \in S$ .

ii) shows that  $L$  is a lower bound of  $S$  and i) shows that  $L \geq$  any lower bound of  $S$ . Consequently  $L = \inf S$ .

Therefore  $S$  has an infimum and the proof is complete.

10. Complete ordered field:

An ordered field is said to be a complete ordered field if the completeness property holds in it.

11. Properties of the supremum and the infimum:

Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded above. Then  $\sup S$  exists. Let  $M = \sup S$ . Then  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $M$  satisfies the following conditions  $\rightarrow$

- i)  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \leq M$  and
- ii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an element  $y(\epsilon)$  in  $S$  such that  $M - \epsilon < y \leq M$ .

Let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded below. Then  $\inf S$  exists. Let  $m = \inf S$ . Then  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m$  satisfies the following conditions  $\rightarrow$

- i)  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \geq m$  and
- ii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an element  $y(\epsilon)$  in  $S$  such that  $m \leq y < m + \epsilon$ .

12. Prove that the set  $\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded set. (above) 2011

The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be bounded above. Then  $\mathbb{N}$  being a non-empty

Subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded above,  $\sup N$  exists by the Supremum property of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u = \sup N$ . Then  $i) x \in N \Rightarrow x \leq u$  and  $(4)$

ii) for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an element, say  $y$ , in  $N$  such that  $u - \epsilon < y \leq u$

Let us choose  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then there exists an element  $k$  in  $N$  such that  $u - 1 < k \leq u$ .

$$\Rightarrow u - 1 + 1 < k + 1 \leq u + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow u < k + 1 \leq u + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow u < k + 1$$

Since  $k$  is a natural number,  $k + 1$  is also a natural number  $k + 1 > u$  implies that  $u$  is not an upper bound of  $N$ .

Thus we arrive at a contradiction. So our assumption that  $N$  is bounded above is wrong. Hence the set  $N$  is not bounded above.

13. Let  $S$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , bounded above and  $T = \{-x : x \in S\}$ . Prove that the set  $T$  is bounded below and  $\inf T = -\sup S$ .

$\sup S$  exists. Let  $u = \sup S$ . Then  $x \in S \Rightarrow x \leq u$

Let  $y \in T$ . Then  $-y \in S$  and therefore  $-y \leq u$  i.e.  $y \geq -u$ . This implies that  $-u$  is a lower bound of  $T$ . Therefore the set  $T$  is bounded below.

Let us choose  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $u = \sup S$ , there exists an element  $p$  in  $S$  such that  $u - \epsilon < p \leq u$ . Therefore  $-u \leq -p < -u + \epsilon$  — (1)

Let  $q = -p$ . Then  $q \in T$

(1) shows that for positive  $\epsilon$  there exists an element  $q$  in  $T$  such that  $-u \leq q < -u + \epsilon$

This proves that  $-u = \inf T$ . Therefore  $\inf T = -\sup S$

14. Let  $A, B$  be bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in A, y \in B \Rightarrow x \leq y$ . Prove that  $\sup A \leq \inf B$ .

Since  $A, B$  are non-empty bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sup A$  and  $\inf B$  exist. Let  $\sup A = a^*$ ,  $\inf B = b^*$

Let  $b \in B$ . Then  $x \in A \Rightarrow x \leq b$ . This shows that  $b$  is an upper bound of  $A$ . Since  $\sup A = a^*$  and  $b$  is an upper bound,

of  $A$  it follows that  $a^* \leq b$ .

(5)

Now  $a^* \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$ . Therefore  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $B$ .  
Since  $\inf B = b_*$  and  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $B$  it follows that  $a^* \leq b_*$ , i.e.  $\sup A \leq \inf B$ .

15. Any finite set is bounded.

Let  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be any finite set.

Let  $M = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $m = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

$x_i \leq M, x_i \geq m \quad i=1, \dots, n$

Here  $M$  is the upperbound of  $S$ .

and  $m$  is the lower bound of  $S$ .

Therefore  $S$  is bounded.

So, we can say that any finite set is bounded.

16.  $0 \leq |a-b| < \epsilon$  prove that  $a=b$ .

we assume that  $a > b$

$$a-b > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(a-b) > 0$$

$$\text{let } \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(a-b)$$

by the given condition

$$0 \leq |a-b| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq a-b < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq a-b < \frac{1}{2}(a-b)$$

$\therefore a-b < \frac{1}{2}(a-b)$  [a contradiction]

Therefore  $a=b$

17. Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$ : **2011** **2013**

If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x > 0, y > 0$ , then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $ny > x$ .

proof: If possible, let there exist no natural number  $n$  for which  $ny > x$ . Then for every natural number  $k$ ,  $ky \leq x$ .

Thus the set  $S = \{ky : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded below,  $x$  being an upper bound.  $S$  is non empty because  $y \in S$ .

By the Supremum property of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sup S$  exists. let  $\sup S = M$

$$(1) ky \leq M \quad \forall ky \in S$$

$$(ii) M - \epsilon < my \leq M$$

let  $\epsilon = \gamma$ , since  $\gamma > 0$

$$M - \gamma < p\gamma \Rightarrow M < p\gamma + \gamma$$

$$M < (p+1)\gamma \quad p+1 \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$M < 8\gamma$$

This shows that  $M$  is not the supremum of  $S$ , a contradiction.

Therefore our assumption is wrong and the existence of a natural number  $n$  satisfying  $n\gamma > x$  is proved.

18. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n > x$ .

Case-1:  $x > 0$

Taking  $\gamma = 1$ , by Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n \cdot 1 > x$  and hence the existence is proved.

Case-2:  $x \leq 0$ . Then  $n = 1$

19. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x > 0$ , then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < x$ .

Taking  $\gamma = 1$ , by Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n \cdot x > 1$

Since  $n$  is a natural number,  $n > 0$  and therefore  $\frac{1}{n} > 0$  and  $x > \frac{1}{n}$ .

Therefore we have  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < x$ .

20. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $m$  such that  $m-1 \leq x < m$

Taking  $\gamma = 1$  and  $x > 0$ , by Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n \cdot 1 > x$ , i.e.  $n > x$

Let  $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k > x\}$ . Then  $S$  is non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $n \in S$ . By the well ordering property of the set  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $S$  has a least element, say  $m$ . Since  $m \in S$ ,  $m > x$ .

As  $m$  is the least element in  $S$ ,  $m-1 \not> x$  i.e.  $m-1 \leq x$

Hence  $m-1 \leq x < m$ .

21. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $m-1 \leq x < m$ .

Case-1:  $x > 0$

This is 20.

Case-2:  $x = 0$

In this case  $m = 1$

Case-3:  $x < 0$

First we assume that  $x$  is not a negative integer.

Then  $-x > 0$ . By case 1, there exists a natural number  $m'$  such that  $m'-1 < -x < m'$

$$-x < m' \Rightarrow x > -m' \text{ and } m'-1 < -x \Rightarrow x < -m'+1$$

Therefore  $-m' < x < -m'+1$

Let  $m = -m'+1$ . Since  $m'$  is a natural number,  $m$  is an integer  $\leq 0$

So, we have  $m-1 < x < m$

If however  $x$  is a negative integer, then  $x = m-1$

Combining, we have  $m-1 \leq x < m$

22. Density property of  $\mathbb{R}$ . 2012

1. If  $x, y$  are real numbers with  $x < y$ , then there exists a rational number  $r$  such that  $x < r < y$ .

2. If  $x, y$  are real numbers with  $x < y$ , then there exists an irrational number  $s$  such that  $x < s < y$

23. Find  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$ , where

Sol

$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 3x^2 + 8x - 3 < 0\} \quad \text{2010}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 3x(x+3) - (x+3) < 0\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : (x+3)(3x-1) < 0\}$$

when  $(x+3) < 0$  then  $(3x-1) > 0$

$$x < -3 \text{ and } x > \frac{1}{3}$$

This is impossible.

when  $(x+3) > 0$  then  $(3x-1) < 0$

$$x > -3 \text{ and } x < \frac{1}{3}$$

Combining these two we get  $-3 < x < \frac{1}{3}$

therefore  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -3 < x < \frac{1}{3}\}$



Therefore  $\sup A = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\inf A = -3$ .

(8)

$$\text{ii) } A = \left\{ \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ 0 < \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \leq 2, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$\sup A = 2 \text{ and } \inf A = 0$$

$$\text{iii) } A = \left\{ \frac{n + (-1)^n}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ 0 \leq \frac{n + (-1)^n}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$\sup A = \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } \inf A = 0$$

24. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the set  $C = \{x+y : x \in A, y \in B\}$  is bounded. Prove that  $\sup C = \sup A + \sup B$ .

Since  $A$  is bounded set  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$  both exists.  
let  $a = \sup A, \inf A = a'$

Since  $B$  is bounded set, so  $\sup B$  and  $\inf B$  both exists.  
let  $b = \sup B, \inf B = b'$

$$\text{Now, } x \in A \Rightarrow a' \leq x \leq a$$

$$y \in B \Rightarrow b' \leq y \leq b$$

$$\text{That is } a' + b' \leq x + y \leq a + b \quad \forall x \in A \text{ and } y \in B$$

This shows that  $a+b$  is an upper bound of  $C$  and  $a'+b'$  is a lower bound of  $C$ .

i.e  $C$  is a bounded set.

Here  $\sup C$  exists.

$$\text{and } \sup C \leq a + b$$

we show that  $\sup C = a + b$

If possible let  $p < a + b$  be an upper bound of  $C$ .

$$\text{let } a + b - p = 2\varepsilon$$

$$a + b - \varepsilon = p + \varepsilon$$

Since  $\sup A = a$  there exists an element  $a_1$  in  $A$  s.t  $a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < a_1 \leq a$

Since  $\sup B = b$ , there exists an element  $b_1$  in  $B$  s.t  $b - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < b_1 \leq b$

Therefore,  $a + b - \varepsilon < a_1 + b_1 \leq a + b$

$$\text{i.e. } a_1 + b_1 > a + b - \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e. } a_1 + b_1 > p + \epsilon$$

Thus  $p$  fails to be an upper bound of  $c$ .

Hence such  $p$  does not exist.

i.e.  $a + b$  is the least upper bound of  $c$ .

$$\text{i.e. } \text{Sup}c = a + b$$

$$\text{i.e. } \text{Sup}c = \text{Sup}A + \text{Sup}B$$

25. Show that  $\inf A = -\text{Sup}(-A)$  where  $A$  is a non empty set of  $\mathbb{R}$  bounded below and  $-A = \{-x : x \in A\}$

$A$  is non empty and bounded below. Therefore  $\inf A$  exists.

$$\text{let } m = \inf A$$

$$\text{Then } m \leq x \quad \forall x \in A \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Also for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an element  $y \in A$  s.t

$$m \leq y < m + \epsilon \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From (1) we get  $\rightarrow$

$$-x \leq -m \quad \forall -x \in -A \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

This shows that  $-A$  is bounded above.

Therefore  $\text{sup}(-A)$  exists.

From (2) we get

$$-y > -m - \epsilon, \quad -y \in -A \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

From (3) and (4) we get  $\rightarrow$

$$\text{(a) } -x \leq -m \quad \forall -x \in -A$$

$$\text{(b) } -m - \epsilon < -y \leq -m, \quad -y \in -A$$

$$\text{i.e., } -m = \text{Sup}(-A)$$

$$\text{i.e., } m = -\text{Sup}(-A)$$

$$\text{i.e., } \inf A = -\text{Sup}(-A) \quad [\text{proved}]$$

26. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be non empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , such that  $x \leq t \quad \forall x \in S$  and  $t \in T$ . If  $T$  has a Supremum then show that  $S$  has a Supremum and  $\text{Sup}S \leq \inf T \leq \text{Sup}T$

$\text{Sup}T$  exists.

Then  $t \in T \Rightarrow t \leq M$

Therefore  $x \leq t \leq M \forall x \in S$

i.e  $x \leq M \forall x \in S$

Therefore  $S$  is bounded above.

i.e  $\text{Sup} S$  exists.

Let  $k = \text{Sup} S$ .

$T$  is bounded below. as  $x \leq t \forall t \in T$

So,  $\text{inf} T$  exists.

Let  $m = \text{inf} T$

Then  $k > m$  is false

That is  $k \leq m$  holds.

i.e  $k \leq m \leq M$

$\text{Sup} S \leq \text{inf} T \leq \text{Sup} T$