

E-Learning Materials for Sem - 6(I)

Unit - 1, CC - 14

Topic - Ring theory and Linear Algebra - II

Date - 04.04.2020.

Prepared by - Dr. Alauddin Dasgupta.

It follows that an associate of an irreducible element in D is also an irreducible in D . 7

Ex: In the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}$, 5 and -5 are irreducible elements, but 6 is not.

Because $5 = 5 \cdot 1$ and $-5 = 5 \cdot (-1)$

In here ① 5 is not unit.

② and only divisors of 5 and -5 are 1 and -1

and 5 and -5 are an associate of 5 and -5.

Ex: A field F is an integral domain where every non-zero element is a unit.

$\therefore$ There is no irreducible element in a field.

Ex: $5 = [(2+i)(2-i)]$ is not an irreducible element in the domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ but it is irreducible element in a field in the subdomain $\mathbb{Z}$.

Ex. 6: show that 3 is an irreducible element in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

$\Rightarrow$ Let $3 = (a+bi)(c+di)$. Then $N(3) = N(a+bi)N(c+di)$ gives $(a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2) = 9$ for integers a, b, c, d .

This is possible if either i) $a^2+b^2 = 1$ and $c^2+d^2 = 9$

ii) $a^2+b^2 = 3$, $c^2+d^2 = 3$, iii) $a^2+b^2 = 9$ and $c^2+d^2 = 1$

In i) $a^2+b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow (a+bi)(a-bi) = 1 \Rightarrow a+bi$ is a unit

In iii) $c^2+d^2 = 1 \Rightarrow (c+di)(c-di) = 1 \Rightarrow c+di$ is a unit

The possibility ii) can not happen.

$\therefore$ If $3 = (a+bi)(c+di)$ then either $a+bi$ is a unit or $c+di$ is a unit. This proves that 3 is an irreducible in the domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Ex. 7 Show that 2 is an irreducible element in the domain $D = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

→ Let us define a norm function N on D by $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$

Let $2 = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})$. Then

$$N(2) = N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) N(c + d\sqrt{-5})$$

$$\Rightarrow 4 = (a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2), \text{ for integers } a, b, c, d$$

This is possible if either i) $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$ and $c^2 + 5d^2 = 4$

ii) $a^2 + 5b^2 = 2$, and $c^2 + 5d^2 = 2$ iii) $a^2 + 5b^2 = 4$, $c^2 + 5d^2 = 1$

In i) $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a = \pm 1, b = 0$ so $a + b\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit

In iii) $c^2 + 5d^2 = 1 \Rightarrow c = \pm 1, d = 0$ so $c + d\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit.

The possibility ii) cannot happen

$\therefore$ If $2 = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})$ then either $a + b\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit or $c + d\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit.

Thus prove that 2 is irreducible in D .

* Prime element $\Rightarrow$ A non-zero element p in an integral domain D is said to be a prime element in D if

i) p is not a unit, and

ii) $p \mid ab$ implies either $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$ for a, b in D .

Theorem 9.1:

p is an irreducible

$\Rightarrow$ Let p be

then $p \neq 0$

Let $a \in D$

$$p = ab$$

i) $p \nmid a$, then

that a

$$p = ab$$

and D

Since $p \neq 0$

The above

Thus a is

Ex. 8 In an

not be

$\Rightarrow$ Example, in

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

2 is an

But 2 is not

$1 - \sqrt{-5}$ so

Theorem 01: In an integral domain, every prime element is an irreducible. 9

$\Rightarrow$ Let p be a prime element in an integral domain D .
Then $p \neq 0$ and p is not a unit in D .

Let $a \in D$ and a is a divisor of p . Then $p = ab$ for some b in D .

$p = ab \Rightarrow p \mid ab$ and since p is prime, either $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

i) $p \mid a$, then we have $a = pd$ and $p \mid a$ and this shows that a is an associate of p .

ii) If $p \mid b$, then $b = pd$ for some d in D .

$\therefore p = ab = apd$ and this gives $p - apd = 0$

and D contains no divisor of zero $\Rightarrow p(1 - ad) = 0$,

Since $p \neq 0$, then $ad = 1$

This shows that a is a unit in D .

Thus a is a divisor of p implies either a is an associate of p or a is a unit in D .

$\therefore p$ is an irreducible in D .

Ex. 8 In an integral domain, an irreducible element may not be a prime.

$\Rightarrow$ Example, in the integral domain $D = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$,

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}),$$

2 is an irreducible in D and $2 \mid (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$,

But 2 is neither a divisor of $1 + \sqrt{-5}$ nor a divisor of $1 - \sqrt{-5}$. So 2 is not a prime in D .

Ex. 9 show that 3 is an irreducible element but is not a prime element in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ 10

$\Rightarrow$ Let $3 = (a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5})$. Then $N(3) = N(a+b\sqrt{-5})N(c+d\sqrt{-5})$
giving $(a^2+5b^2)(c^2+5d^2) = 9$ for integers a, b, c, d

This is possible if either

i) $a^2+5b^2=1$ and $c^2+5d^2=9$

ii) $a^2+5b^2=3$ and $c^2+5d^2=3$

iii) $a^2+5b^2=9$ and $c^2+5d^2=1$

In i) $a^2+5b^2=1 \Rightarrow a=\pm 1, b=0$ so $a+b\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit

In iii) $c^2+5d^2=1 \Rightarrow c=\pm 1, d=0$ so $c+d\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit

The possibility (ii) cannot happen.

Therefore if $3 = (a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5})$ then

Either $a+b\sqrt{-5}$ is unit or $c+d\sqrt{-5}$ is a unit.

This prove that 3 is an irreducible element in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

we have $3 \cdot 7 = (1+2\sqrt{-5})(1-2\sqrt{-5})$ in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

$\therefore$ 3 is a divisor of $(1+2\sqrt{-5})(1-2\sqrt{-5})$ but 3 is neither a divisor of $(1+2\sqrt{-5})$ nor a divisor of $(1-2\sqrt{-5})$.

Hence 3 is not a prime element in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

Unique factorisation domain (UFD) $\Rightarrow$

i) Every non-zero and non-unit element in D can be expressed as the product of a finite number of irreducible elements, and

ii) The decomposition is unique upto the order and associates of the irreducibles. [That is if $p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_r$ and $q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$ be the two factorisations of the same element in D , then $r=s$ and p_i, q_j are associates for some i, j]

Ex-10 Prove that the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}$ is UFD.

$\Rightarrow$ Every non-zero element other than 1 and -1 in $\mathbb{Z}$ can be expressed as the product of a finite number of irreducible elements in $\mathbb{Z}$ and the factorisation is unique except for the order of the factors.

$$36 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = (-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (-3) \cdot (-3) = (-2)(-3) \cdot 2 \cdot 3.$$

Here 2 and -2 are associates, 3 and -3 are associates.

Ex-11 Theorem 02: P-261

In a UFD (unique factorisation domain), every irreducible element is a prime.

$\Rightarrow$ Let p be an irreducible element in a unique factorisation domain D . Then p is neither zero nor a unit in D .

Let $p \mid ab$, $a, b \in D$. Then $ab = pc$ for some c in D .

Since p is a non-unit and $p \mid ab$, at least one of a, b must be non-unit.

Case-1 Let one of a and b non-unit

If a be a unit and b be a non-unit then $a^{-1} \in D$ and $b = pc(a^{-1})$ and therefore $p \mid b$.

If b be a unit and a be a non-unit then $b^{-1} \in D$ and $a = p(cb^{-1})$ and therefore $p|a$.

So in this case $p|a$ or $p|b$.

Case - II

Let both of a and b be non-units

Let $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ and $b = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$, where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ and $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$ are irreducible in D .

If c be a unit, then $ab = pc$ implies ab is an associate of p and therefore ab is an irreducible. But it is not so.

$\therefore c$ is a non-unit

Let $c = t_1 t_2 \dots t_k$ where $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$ are irreducible in D .
 $ab = pc$ gives $p_1 p_2 \dots p_r q_1 q_2 \dots q_s = p t_1 t_2 \dots t_k$

By uniqueness of the factorization of ab into irreducible it follows that p must be an associate of one of $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_r$ or one of $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$.

In this former case, $p|a$ and in the latter $p|b$.

So in this case $p|a$ or $p|b$.

Hence in any case $p|ab$ implies either $p|a$ or $p|b$.

So p is a prime element in D .

Ex. 21 show that the elements $1+2i$ and $3+5i$ in the integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are prime to each other.

$\Rightarrow$ The integral domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a UFD. $1+2i$ and $3+5i$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and

$2(3+5i) + (-5)(1+2i) = 1$, 1 being the identity element in the domain.

Thus $1+2i$ and $3+5i$ are prime to each other.

Principal ideal

An integral domain of ideal

Principal ideal

Let S be a subset of all ideals of the ring and it is closed under addition and multiplication.

$\Rightarrow$

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

The set of all

Let U be

This ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore m\mathbb{Z}$ is

Since all ideals

where m is

It follows that

principal ideals

References - Higher Algebra - ⑧
S.K. Mapa.